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## BSE surveillance: different goals based on the epidemiological situation

Testing animals is first of all a tool of knowledge of the current situation (Reg. EU 999/2001 Annex III)

## Objectives of surveillance in cattle

For countries where BSE cases have already been detected, the main goal of surveillance is monitoring the effectiveness of control measures by following the evolution of BSE prevalence and incidence.

The results of surveillance activities lead to a periodical (re)evaluation of the epidemiological situation in the countries and possibly a (re)planning of control measures.

In countries with no autochthonous BSE cases occurred, the final goal of surveillance is to demonstrate that the infection is below an agreed threshold.

## Strategies in BSE surveillance

## Passive vs. active surveillance (1/2)

## Passive surveillance

Passive surveillance is based on the reporting of all animals clinically suspected of being infected by BSE and, where BSE cannot be excluded by clinical investigations, the laboratory testing of such animals.

Severe limitations made it inappropriate as the unique mean for BSE surveillance. In fact, passive surveillance abilities to detect BSE cases appeared to be strongly biased due to the incubation period of clinical disease and the sensitivity of the notification system greatly influenced by the farmers and veterinarians knowledge and awareness.
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## EU LEGAL PROVISIONS

## - BSE surveillance in cattle

## Strategies in BSE surveillance

Passive vs. active surveillance (2/2)

## Active surveillance

Active surveillance is based on testing animals not reported as suspected of being infected by BSE, such as emergency slaughtered animals, animals with clinical signs other than BSE at ante mortem inspection, fallen stock, healthy slaughtered animals. This type of surveillance is fundamental for determining the evolution and prevalence of BSE in a country or a region.

## Strategies in BSE surveillance

## Targeting surveillance through streams: subpopulations and age limits)

## At risk subpopulations

From 2001 to 2012, 75\% of all BSE cases was detected in at risk population (fallen stock or animals subject to 'special emergency slaughtering' or showing symptoms at ante mortem inspection or slaughtered in the context of a disease eradication campaign).

## Age classes

Since 2001 only 2 BSE cases younger than 24 months of age were reported in 1989 and 1992 in UK. Therefore an age limit of 24 months was suggested in 2001 for at risk population when the active surveillance provisions came into force.
For healthy bovine animals regularly slaughtered, the age limit was set at 30 months of age.

## Strategies in BSE surveillance



Average age (in months) of BSE positive cases detected in the EU from 2001 to 2012. From: EC 2013, Report on the monitoring and testing of ruminants for the presence of transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) in the EU in 2012.

The active surveillance came into force in January 2001 for healthy slaughtered cattle and in July 2001 for at risk cattle.
In total over 107 million cattle have been tested in the EU since 2001.


From: EC 2013, Report on the monitoring and testing of ruminants for the presence of transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) in the EU in 2012.

## Evolution and current legal provisions

Since 2005 a constant process of control measures revision was applied, based on scientific opinions provided by the European Food Safety
Authority (EFSA) (on 10 July 2008, 22 April 2009, 9 December 2010, 13
April 2011) on the risk for human and animal health related to the revision of the BSE monitoring regime in EU.

The results of these risk assessments based on the outcomes of the national surveillance systems led to the adoption of the Commission

Decision 2009/719 in which the revision of criteria of national monitoring programmes was authorised for 25 MS (all EU MS except Bulgaria, Romania and Croatia).

According to Decision 2009/719/EC, as lastly amended by Decision 2013/76/EU, these 25 MS may decide not to test healthy bovine animals subject to normal slaughter.

## Rapid Tests:

## Since January 2001: all cattle aged over 24 months

Since April 2005:

- subject to special emergency or difered slaughtering (RISK ANIMALS) aged over 24 months
- subject to normal slaughter for human consumption, aged over 30 month


## Since January 2009:

- all categories aged over 48 months

Since July 2011

- subject to special emergency or difered slaughtering (RISK ANIMALS) aged over 48 months
- subject to normal slaughter for human consumption, aged over 72 month


## Since July 2013

ONLY RISK ANIMALS aged over 48 months .

## BSE Passive Surveillance

(Definitions article 3 of Reg 999/2001)
A suspected animal is an animal that shows (or has shown) such behavioural disorders or neurological symptoms that BSE cannot be ruled out (on basis of clinical examination, response on treatment, pm examination or following on a rapid test)

## Control Measures and their effects

## Main Control Measures

Removal of Specified Risk Material is the key public health control measure for BSE rather than BSE testing

MBM ban is the second best measure introduced to fight the BSE epidemic



| Main <br> control <br> measures | MBM ban for <br> cattle | SRM treatment <br> $133^{\circ} 3$ bars 20' |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |


| Trend <br> of the <br> epidemic | Cohort with <br> significant decrease |
| :--- | :--- |



## Number of classical BSE cases detected during 2001-2012

| Target Group | No of detected BSE cases per testing year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |  |
| Active Surveillance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Healthy slaughtered | 280 | 292 | 264 | 177 | 115 | 81 | 39 | 29 | 28 | 15 | 9 | 5 | 1334 |
| At risk animals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emergency slaughter | 321 | 509 | 316 | 172 | 123 | 31 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1490 |
| Fallen stock | 400 | 610 | 406 | 313 | 219 | 165 | 95 | 75 | 32 | 29 | 19 | 6 | 2369 |
| Presenting Clinical signs at ante mortem inspection | 35 | 24 | 31 | 11 | 16 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 |
| Total Active Surveiliance | 1036 | 1435 | 1017 | 648 | 446 | 273 | 133 | 107 | 57 | 41 | 27 | 11 | 5326 |
| Passive Surveillance Suspects subject to lab | 1121 | 674 | 304 | 172 | 74 | 37 | 15 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2407 |
| Eradication Measures | 9 | 10 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Total | 2166 | 2119 | 1324 | 850 | 564 | 324 | 162 | 124 | 65 | 44 | 28 | 11 | 7781 |
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EU27-wide crude incidence (cases/million cattle>24 months) and standardised prevalence by year (cases/100,000 tests)

(0) -- prevalence; ( ${ }^{+}$) ... incidence

## Number of BSE cases per birth cohort detected through BSE surveillance
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## Commission <br> Geographical distribution of BSE within EU. Prevalence by country standardised on stream



## Estimated total number of infected animals in two streams missed between 2007-2011

Infected cattle missed (EU27) in HS and ES
$\operatorname{Mean}\left(2.5^{\text {th }}\right.$ and $97.5^{\text {th }}$ )

| Year |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | $6816(6438,7214)$ |
| 2008 | $4382(4253,4511)$ |
| 2009 | $2533(2436,2630)$ |
| 2010 | $1665(1586,1744)$ |
| 2011 | $1031(970,1093)$ |
| 2012 | $613(566,661)$ |

## Identification of a second bovine amyloidotic

 spongiform encephalopathy: Molecular similarities with sporadic Creutzfeldt-Jakob diseaseC. Casalone, G. Zanusso, P. Acutis, S. Ferrari, L. Capucci,
F. Tagliavini, S. Monaco, and M. Caramelli
vol.101: 3065-3070 (2004)

## BSE
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## Distinct types of PrPres are associated with BSE and BASE



## Brain regional distribution <br> of PrP in BSE and BASE



## Number of Atypical BSE cases

$\mathrm{N}^{0}$ of detected Atypical BSE cases per testing year

| 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 83 |
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Passive surveillance suspected animals

Active surveillance


Reg. 999/2001 (EC)

## TSE surveillance in small ruminants

## Subpopulations

The following subpopulations are considered:
-ovine and caprine animals slaughtered for human consumption -ovine and caprine animals not slaughtered for human consumption i.e. animals which have died or been killed, but which were not slaughtered for human consumption nor killed in the framework of a disease eradication campaign

## Age classes



Only animals older than 18 months are included in the surveillance of TSE in small ruminants.

1. animals slaughtered for human consumption: The animals (sheep and goats) must be randomly selected, avoiding to over-represent any group as regard the origin, age, breed, production type or any other characteristic. At least 10,000 slaughtered sheep and 10,000 slaughtered goats must be annually tested in each MS. For MSs which have difficulties to collect sufficient numbers of healthy slaughtered ovine and caprine animals, they may replace a maximum of $50 \%$ of their sample with died animals over 18 months of age, and a maximum of $10 \%$ of their sample with animals over 18 months of age killed in the framework of a disease eradication campaign
2. animals not slaughtered for human consumption: The animals have to be died on farm or killed (fallen stock) but not killed in the framework of a disease eradication campaign or slaughtered for human consumption. The minimum number of animals not slaughtered for human consumption to be annually tested for each MS is reported in the tables
3. animals in infected flocks: a random sample (min 68 and max 150 according to flock size) of animals over 18 months of age to be killed must be tested in each infected flock

| Member State population of ewes and <br> ewe lambs put to the ram | Minimum sample size of dead ovine <br> animals $\left({ }^{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $>750000$ | 10000 |
| $100000-750000$ | 1500 |
| $40000-100000$ | $100 \%$ up to 500 |
| $<40000$ | $100 \%$ up to 100 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Minimum sample sizes are set to take account of the size of the ovine populations in the individual Member States and are intended to provide achievable targets.

| Member State population of goats <br> which have already kidded and goats <br> mated | Minimum sample size of dead caprine <br> animals ( $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $>750000$ | 10000 |
| $250000-750000$ | 1500 |
| $40000-250000$ | $100 \%$ up to 500 |
| $<40000$ | $100 \%$ up to 100 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Minimum sample sizes are set to take account of the size of the caprine population in the individual Member States and are intended to provide achievable targets.

## Evolution and current legal provisions European <br> Commission

The active surveillance came into force in 2002 and up to 2012 over 6.7 million ovine and caprine animals have been tested in the EU. Slightly more than half of tests (55\%) have been performed in regularly slaughtered animals for human consumption. Only $0.5 \%$ of all tests have been performed in clinically suspected animals.



Total tests performed in the EU between 2002 and 2012.
From: EC 2012, Report on the monitoring and testing of ruminants for the presence of transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs) in the EU in 2011.
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